

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,342	10/647,342 08/26/2003		Jean-Philippe Eneau	0512-1179	3542	
466	7590	01/13/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	466 7590 01/13/2005 YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR			MASINICK, MICHAEL D		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22202				2125		

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commence	10/647,342	ENEAU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Michael D Masinick	2125
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	p ars on the cover sh et with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr e, cause the application to become ABANDC	days will be considered timely. Tom the mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication.
Status		•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J	<u>anuary 2004</u> .	:
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.	;
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application).	;
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		:
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		·
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		e Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• • •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	a priority under 35 II S.C. S. 140	(a)-(d) or (f)
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	i phonty under 35 O.S.C. § 118	γ(α)-(α) Οι (ι).
<u> </u>	te have been received	•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ention No
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	• • •	;
application from the International Burea		Even in this realitinal staye
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ived
See the diagoned detailed Office action for a list	. of the continue copies not rece	:
Attachment(s)	"□ <u>-</u>	(DTO 440)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		al Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/26/2003</u> .	6) Other:	:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. The phrase "wherein the component MAY be fitted on the body or a garment..." is vague. This statement is further treated as if the word "may" was replaced with the word "is".
- 4. Claim 19 recites the limitation "it". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claim 20 recites the limitation "the glove". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,342

Art Unit: 2125

2. Claims 1-6, and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by

Page 3

U.S. Patent No. 6,614,351 to Mann et al.

Regarding claim 1, Mann shows an installation for the treatment of products, comprising: containers for products to be treated and equipped with means for emitting radiofrequency waves to supply product identification information (Claim 1, line 7), at least one workstation for carrying out a product treatment operation, the workstation being equipped with means for emitting radiofrequency waves to supply workstation identification information (Claim 1, lines 9-36), and a system for managing information relating to the products, the system comprising a database ("causes a record to be stored reporting the access regarding the article" - Abstract), and information processing unit and at least one component itself comprising: at least one antenna for receiving the radiofrequency waves emitted by the emitting means (Fig 1, 3), and means for transmitting, to the information processing unit, identification information received from the means for emitting radiofrequency waves so that the information processing unit combines the product and workstation identification information and stores it in the database (abstract),

wherein the component may be fitted on the body or a garment of an operator (Column 2, line

24).

- 4. Referring to claim 2, Mann shows wherein the transmission means comprise a transmitter and the information management system further comprises a corresponding receiver which is connected to the information processing unit (Col 3, lines 19-26).
- 5. Referring to claim 3, Mann shows wherein the transmitter and the receiver are a radiofrequency wave transmitter and receiver (Col 3, line 13).

Application/Control Number: 10/647,342

Art Unit: 2125

6.

means of the containers and the workstations is different from that of the waves emited by the

Referring to claim 4, Mann shows wherein the wave frequency emitted by the emitting

Page 4

transmitter of the component (Col 2, lines 52-61 - computer may use bluetooth or other wireless

connection).

7. Referring to claim 5, Mann shows wherein the transmission means comprise a wired

connection connecting the component to the information processing unit (figure 2).

8. Referring to claim 6, Mann does not show wherein the workstation comprises a device

for opening the workstation, and the means for emitting radio frequency waves from the

workstation are disposed on or in the vicinity of the opening device. Examiner submits that this

claim is extremely vague. For example, if a workstation is considered to be a special room

where a container is to be delivered, the door handle of the room would read on this claim.

Applicant is asked to more clearly specify the workstation and the reading means therein.

9. Referring to claim 12, Mann shows wherein the means for emitting radiofrequency waves

have an emitting power lower than 10mW (Col 3, lines 47-56). Examiner notes that while the

specified example at the top of column 3 uses a tag with approximately 150 mW of power, this is

only an example. The actual power used would be a design choice to coincide with the needs of

the distances specified in Mann in the passage shown.

10. Referring to claim 13, Mann shows wherein the means for emitting radiofrequency waves

are passive (Col 3, line 5).

11. Referring to claim 14, Mann shows wherein the workstation comprises means for

acquiring at least one parameter relating to implementation of the processing operation and a

Application/Control Number: 10/647,342 Page 5

Art Unit: 2125

device for transmitting this parameter to the information processing unit in order to associate it with the information for identifying the products treated in the workstation (Col 2, lines 16-21).

- Referring to claim 15, Mann shows the component comprises at least one antenna for receiving radiofrequency waves and means for transmitting identification information received from the means for emitted radiofrequency waves to an information processing unit (Col 2, lines 45-65)
- 13. Referring to claim 16, Mann shows wherein the transmission means comprise a transmitter. Examiner notes that this is the one of the basics of RFID technology.
- 14. Referring to claim 17, Mann shows wherein the transmitter is a radiofrequency wave transmitter. Examiner notes that this is the one of the basics of RFID technology.
- 15. Referring to claim 18, Mann shows wherein it comprises a wired connection for connecting it to the information processing unit (Figure 3).
- 16. Referring to claim 19, Mann shows wherein it is a glove (Figure 1).
- 17. Referring to claim 20, Mann shows wherein the receiving antenna is accommodated in a finger or the palm of the glove (Figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

18. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,614,351 to Mann et al as shown above in view of UK Patent Application 2 371 722 A by Worner et al (present in applicant's Information Disclosure Statement).

Application/Control Number: 10/647,342 Page 6

Art Unit: 2125

19. Referring to claim 7, Mann does not specifically show wherein the workstation comprises a plurality of sites for accommodating the containers and in that each site comprises means for emitting radiofrequency waves to supply site identification information via the component to the information processing unit.

- 20. Worner shows a storage workstation system with a plurality of "zones". Each zone contains and antenna for "selective excitation" of transponders mounted on stored articles.
- 21. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the storage system of Worner as the "workstation" of Mann because "it enables the ascertation of a zone of the storage device in which a sought article is disposed without the user having to access individual zones for that purpose and look in." (Page 4, paragraph 4 of Worner).
- 22. Referring to claim 8, Worner shows wherein the information processing unit is adapted to supply signals refuting or confirming that the component is placed in the vicinity of a site in which a container is disposed (Page 4).
- 23. Referring to claim 9, Worner shows wherein the information processing unit is adapted to supply signals refuting or confirming that the component is placed in the vicinity of a site in which a container is to be disposed (Page 4, paragraphs 4-5).
- 24. Referring to claim 10, Mann shows wherein the component is a glove.
- 25. Referring to claim 11, Mann shows wherein the receiving antenna of the glove is accommodated in a finger or the palm of the glove (figure 1).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2125

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael D Masinick whose telephone number is (571) 272-3746. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on (571) 272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MDM

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

L-P.P.

4